

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/869,136	FRASER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. M. Minnifield	1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) Yuan-Kai Tsao, 34053.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *none.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative indicated that Applicants intended for the application to go abandoned; Applicants did not respond to the Office Action mailed 8/24/04.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 N. M. MINNIFIELD
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)